

Complaint #1

Board has spent or authorized over \$15,000
just seeking permission to clear-cut wetlands

These documents from HOA meetings and correspondence show the Board's unnecessary and frivolous use of YOUR money. Look for highlighted areas in documents.

HOA Board Meeting Synopsis 2017/07/24

HOA Board Meeting Synopsis 2017/09/25

Cindi's Questions to the Board 2018/03/19

Expenses paid in determining changes to Wetlands

2017/07/24 HOA Board Meeting Synopsis

July 24, 2017, 7 PM

7:03 PM - Begin recording

(I am using the exact words the speaker used. But, while I am keeping the exact words spoken, I am leaving out duplicate comments, keeping any that expressed the salient points and the speaker's intent. Often the comments in their entirety are 5 to 10 minutes long and reading the entire audio record would be as tiresome to read as it would be arduous for me to type it. Some comments are in quotes, and while longish, these are the exact words of the speaker. Catherine wants me to point out that Mark Guthrie's seems to be quoting Catherine Arnold's reasons for keeping the community web domains. But, he is not quoting Catherine: they are his words, not Catherine's. I am putting this explanation here to pacify my editors. Sorry for the awkward sentence constructions, but they are not my words. I leave them as spoken.)

Opening Ceremonies (7:21 PM) Marie is late

Roll Call - Marie Callerame, Pat Lambert, Tom Kelly, Marty Elzingre, Mark Guthrie, Ron Boyce (By phone).

Missing - Janine Smith

Proof of Notice - Reading and approval of minutes of May 15.

Minutes are approved.

Audience Comment: (Marie Callerame is late for the meeting.)

- Linda Harnish - (7:10) asks why the audience requests/comments are not part of the minutes. Pat Lambert says that "Robert's Rules of Order" does not require that audience comments be recorded... only items getting a vote are recorded in the minutes.
- Casey Watrous - (7:11) is concerned to learn that a registered sex offender is living nearby and he had not been informed till just recently. What to do? Much discussion. Casey is seeing a lawyer on possible actions he can take to protect his young children. The board asks him to keep the board informed.
- Richard Arnold - was not allowed to speak. Pat Lambert said they'd run out of time. I wanted to have them answer my question to the board at the last meeting on the Ontkean settlement: It seems that the board broke two Washington state laws, the HOA contract with the City of Camas, and ignored the clear requirements of our CC&Rs. At the last meeting, I read the laws, etc and gave them hard copies of the documents. They promised me an answer by the time of

the next meeting, and they did not keep their promise. I asked the board how they interpreted the laws, contract with the City of Camas, and CC&Rs such that they had the authority to transfer ownership of Common Area to the Ontkean's for a patio extension. They appeared to do it in clear violation of the limits these laws, contract and CC&Rs put on HOA board authority. Tom Kelly, as board parliamentarian, said that they would get back to me. All I got back was an email stating that they'd consulted a lawyer, the vote was unanimous, and I was not respectful. Well, the seven members of the board signed away ownership of the common area property... not any lawyer. Neither the seven board members nor any lawyer had the authority to do what they did. Under attorney/client privilege, we have no right to know what questions were asked of the lawyer, and no right to hear what the legal opinion was. And, for what it is worth, I've consulted a lawyer too. I lived next door to one for several years and he gave me all sorts of advice on many topics. Whatever legal advice I've been given... my actions have always been my own. (The topic of the Ontkean settlement took up about 30 minutes of the annual HOA meeting.) I did not get a chance to ask the board for the clarification they'd promised. So I am giving it here in the off chance they may see it and remember that they promised me a clarification/explanation, but did not do so.

- **Report of the Officers: (7:22 PM)**

- President - nothing noteworthy
- Treasurer - nothing noteworthy
- Secretary - nothing noteworthy
-

- **Report of the Committees:**

- Boat Ramp Committee - Ron Boyce met with the city to get an extension before moving forward with the Fish & Wildlife folks. We can get an exemption if the total cost is less than \$6,000. The bids, so far at least, are more than \$6,000. Perhaps reducing the scope of effort will lower the bids.
- Common Area Land Use Committee - Marie Callerame has had the Bailey's clean up the picnic area. We have to get a proposal ready before the pre-application meeting with the city (for extending the cleanup effort into the trees between the lake and the picnic area.)
- Member Communication Committee (Domains Update) - (7:29 PM) Mark Guthrie has a PowerPoint presentation on the HOA suit against Catherine Arnold over her domain names. (Catherine Arnold and I dispute much of Mark Guthrie's presentation. Mark seems to quote Catherine, but they are his words and not what Catherine said. Where Mark tries to read her mind

and guess her intentions, he is wrong. Catherine asked to meet and talk with Mark Guthrie before the ICANN/WIPO suit, but Mark turned down her request. There are serious omissions, errors, and misstatements in Mark Guthrie's presentation. Catherine and I will decide later how to answer them.)

- A Timeline:
 - In 2002: the first domain name <lacamasshores.org> for social stuff. In 2004: That web site became the official web site of the HOA. In 2012: a problem with the ISP, and Catherine got a second domain name <lacamasshores.com>. The two domain names are essentially merged into one site. In 2016: Catherine bought a 3rd domain name <lacamasshoreshoa.com>. But Mark does not know why or for what purpose. (It was to serve as a testbed for taking on-line credit card payments for the annual assessments.)
 - In May 0f 2016: Catherine resigns from her position as the web master for the HOA's part the board's information of her web sites. Mark does not know what was going on... but, the disputes start. (7:33 PM) "Catherine says that: It's my opinion that I started <lacamasshores.org> and <lacamasshores.com> as my personal web sites. I hosted HOA official information for 12 years, but I now I don't want to do that anymore. So, I'm going to take my web site back and no longer host information, I'll post on the bottom that it is no longer the "official" web site and I'm just going to post my social/personal information on that web site." Also at the time, the board believed that because there had been some reimbursement over time and that it had hosted HOA material for 12 years... that the sites were not her web sites, but they belonged to the HOA board."
 - In the spring of 2017:... the confusion continues. Mark offers to contest the domain ownership of the web sites with WIPO. Binding arbitration. \$1500.00 one time fee. The WIPO result is passed out. First, the easy decision: <lacamasshoreshoa.com> is given to the HOA. Second, the other two domains belong to Catherine.
 - Now here is where we are: the web sites the HOA we'd used for 12 years do not belong to us. WIPO cannot address any contractual issues the HOA may have. Contractual issues are for a court of law to decide. Mark faults the transition from Catherine to the HOA for the neighborhood confusion. What is the cost to the HOA to resolve these issues. Costs to promote, build, run the HOA web sites. Cooperation would have been nice, but while Mark does not know the why of these failures, the cost to the HOA is \$1,500 or more. While the HOA cannot reclaim the domain names (because of agreeing to

“binding arbitration), a possible next step would be to begin litigation to recover the HOA’s costs to build their own site.

- (7:48 PM) Pat Lambert, the worst about this is the inability to communicate with the neighborhood and the costs to the neighborhood so far are over \$2,000 more.
- Marie Callerame says, “We have tried to reach out to reconcile with Catherine, but it has not worked out.”

Old Business:

- Amended Tree Policy Discussion - Still being revised by board; will be shared with the community for feedback before vote by the board. (This was the stated topic of the June 6th working meeting in the picnic area and also of the June 26 board meeting.) Marie comments that same approach of soliciting feedback from the community before the board vote will be used for Meadowlands Park Project.

New Business:

- July 4th – Thank yous! - (7:50 PM) Everything was fabulous.
- Discussion of a Voluntary Meadowlands Revegetation Fund - (7:52 PM) Marie Callerame: when we come back from the city and see what they want us to do... then we go to the people and get their comments... and see what we want to do.
- Fines & Fees policy 7:52 PM) - Should we have one big fine for the first offense or small fines with incremental increases for continuing violations. \$3,000.00 if you cut down a tree without permission: a single big fine. Incremental small fines for garbage left outside overnight. “We want our fines to be motivational.”

- The issue of Meadowlands Park - (8:05 PM) - Marie Callerame says: This issue for most folks is one of cost... “Most folks do not care one way or another.” A Special Assessment is almost impossible to do... We need to get a voluntary fund set up... We need to separate the money issue from what we want to do with Meadowlands part. The most cost effective plan is a clear-cut. That would cost ~\$30,000 to do. Everything else would cost more. Marty Elzingre says: that the Reserve Fund cannot be used. Only enumerated projects can use money from the Reserve Fund. “If you get the money from private funds, I’m for it. So long as you also address the ongoing maintenance costs.” Pat Lambert says: “and it depends on what the city will let us do.” Marie Callerame says: that the city requires that we follow the Shorelines Preservation act mandates... and that requires a 200-foot buffer from the lake shore. That’s from the lake shore up to the basketball hoops in the picnic area.
- More on What can we do about child molesters - (8:14 PM) Casey Watrous asks if “we” will publish a notice on “both” the web sites if it is legal and proper. He says, “If the HOA will do nothing to protect my family, I will do it. The whole point of it is about coming together as a community to raise a critical issue... of safety for our children.” Much discussion, shared concerns. The board agrees to speak with the HOA lawyer.
- Adjourn - (8:28 PM)

The Post- Adjournment discussion:

- Casey Watrous asks me (Richard Arnold) to send any errant emails (especially ACC requests) that come to our community web site be sent to the board. I tell Casey that I will do anything I can do to help Casey with this problem.
- I also tell Casey and all those remaining after the adjournment (and still listening to this conversation) that the board in 2016/05/05 demanded that we take down all email routing from our community web site and that disabled the neighborhood WATCH, the BACKFENCE, and the ACC form. Catherine emailed the board that she would do as they asked by 2016/05/15, and she did.
- Pat Lambert says : “That is not right.” I tell Pat Lambert that his failure to remember the May 5th meeting means nothing. I remind Pat that I have the audio recording of the meeting and copies of the subsequent emails from and to the board on the subject.

- I remind Pat Lambert that since he is still here, and still has a board quorum at this meeting, and if he still cared about this confusion, he could vote to repeal that prior board demand (by Matt McCants and Marie Callerame), and allow the community web sites to re-route any errant email, etc for the people who are still “confused” by the new “official” web page. Pat Lambert does not answer.
- I ask Marie Callerame whether she remembers that Catherine helped her with the ACC form. (The old ACC form could be filled out online, locked against further changes, and with a push of a button on the form... mailed to the ACC committee.) Catherine modified the “old” ACC form to route ACC requests to the “official” web site lacamasshoreshoa.org and gave the revised form to Marie. Marie says that “this is not something that should be discussed in the public eye.” I tell Marie that Catherine has retained the emails with Marie. Marie has just now blamed the “ failed transition” for all her problems with her “new official web site. I remind Marie Callerame that we all just heard a 20-minute discussion on the confusion between the “Old” Community web site and the “New” Official web sites. Mark Guthrie said that the difficulty Marie Callerame had in the transition from old to the new web sites was caused by Catherine’s lack of assistance. Mark Guthrie said that the board was now considering whether to sue Catherine Arnold for the cost of Marie Callerame’s efforts to create the new web site. I also ask her to remember how she claimed that she had “...reached out to Catherine for help...”, etc and she just now made that statement in public again. I tell the audience, who are still there and listening to this exchange, that I have the emails and meeting recordings to prove all this. The confusion with the “official” HOA web site is entirely the board’s doing. Catherine offered to help in a transition to a professional service but was turned down by the board. (Catherine has offered to write up a posting of the board’s demands and their refusal to accept help in the transition.)

The Executive session:

- CC&R Non-Compliance/Property Maintenance
- Domain names update

Executive Session Summary

The board is obliged to put in their “official minutes” a summary of any decisions

made in the executive session. This board has never done this.

Further, this board continues to overuse executive sessions. if you look at the Washington State RCWs on open meetings and the reasons listed for having executive sessions, you will see what topics need to be in closed sessions and which topics are discussed in open sessions. Generally valid topics for closed sessions are litigation, neighbor vs neighbor disputes, and allegations of crime. The topics and questions to be decided in a closed session need to be stated before the meeting begins and the decisions made are given after the closed meeting is over. The decisions are public and need to be in the minutes. Topics that are merely contentious are not valid for closed meetings.

Adjournment

2017/09/25 HOA Board Meeting Synopsis

September 25, 2017, 7 PM

6:57 PM - Begin recording

(I am trying to use the exact words the speakers used. But, while I am keeping the exact words spoken, I am leaving out duplicate comments, keeping those that expressed the salient points and the speaker's intent. Often the comments in their entirety are 5 to 10 minutes long and reading the entire audio transcript would be as tiresome to read as it would be arduous for me to type it. If the comments are in quotes, these are the exact words of the speaker. I am putting this explanation here to pacify my editors who'd like me to clear up the speaker's words/intent. Sometimes sentence constructions are awkward, but these are the words of the speaker. I record them as they were said)

Pre-meeting: (7:00:30)

- Janine Smith - On the failure of the board to post HOA Board meeting minutes. Richard Arnold points out the importance of the amendments to the 2016 annual meeting minutes. Janine does not have notes and does not know where to get the amendments that were approved when the minutes were also voted on and approved. Richard Arnold suggests that she look at the synopsis on the community web site where they were posted.

Opening Ceremonies (7:04PM)

Roll Call - Marie Callerame, Pat Lambert, Tom Kelly, Janine Smith, Ron Boyce, Mark Guthrie,
Missing - Marty Elzingre

Audience Comment:

- Richard Arnold - (7:06:PM) "I'd like to comment on the financials. The people who live in Lacamas Shores are individually responsible to back up any check the board writes, **ever**. And should they have any fines for anything they do, or any legal fees for something they do, every member is responsible, **personally**. This is like a lien on your property. This idea that we are limited to a 6% annual increase is incorrect. Everything you write a check for will be paid by the membership. Special Assessments are fine, but if you get a legal judgment against you, this will not be paid by you individuals (I gesture to the seated board members), but by all the people who live in this neighborhood."

Proof of the Minutes: (7:07PM)

Reading and approval of minutes of August 28. Minutes are approved

Janine is getting with Marie to finish some of the un-published board meeting minutes.

Report of the Officers: (7:08PM)

President - Tom Kelley... we don't really have one just now. But, as VP. Tom is is "sitting in".

Vice President - Tom Kelly: Nothing

Treasurer - Janine Smith: 75 thousand of the reserve fund has been invested in a Certificate of Deposit. If the HOA needs the money before the CD matures, the fees will "break even" with respect to the increased interest...in 10 months. Approved, even though the investment has already been done. Reserve total is \$169,098.25. Janine mentions the passwords for the PayPal account have been given to Marie Callerame. Cindi Marrinan: objects that with checks, we had two signatures and with PayPal, you have just given Marie the passwords. Marie says that PayPal is only for small amounts, and the double check is that our bookkeeper will see all the charges. Janine says that she gets daily notification by PayPal on any charges. There is some discussion on setting limits on charges that have not been authorized a vote of the board.

Secretary - Marie Callerame: Says that the PayPal account is only being used for the iPage and the dropbox account expenses.

Report of the Committees: (7:14PM)

- ALCC - Some discussion of the picnic area tables. Someone (?) a question: you were supposed to get back to me a month ago, but you have not.
- Boat Ramp Committee - Ron Boyce: we should be done at the end of this week. Some discussion on what is/will be done.
- Common Area Land Use Committee - Marie Callerame: The picnic area project is on hold... waiting for city approval. Ron Boyce: On the meadowland park project. Ron met with the city for about an hour about what needs to be done. Quite frankly, they are not really willing to help us a whole lot. It's like pulling teeth to get them to agree to certain things. But we'll see. Marie Callerame: Do we need to consider reaching out to someone for help with the city? Ron: that's for new business. Capitol Replacement committee: Janine Smith: Some meetings. The exercise equipment will wait till next year. Cindi Marrinan points out that there are state standards that need to be met.
- Reserve Study - Ron Boyce, a discussion of re-doing the existing study. Janine Smith is preparing a presentation on that issue when it becomes clear. Some items are on a 25 year spread sheet... others are/should be on a 30 year spreadsheet. A long, complex discussion follows: Common Sense versus How Accounting Works. Mark Guthrie: Let's get reality right first, then we'll work on the accounting terminology.
- Member Communication Committee - a discussion on newsletters and the HOA web site.
- Social Committee - Janine Smith: The sock hop only cost \$221.49.

- Traffic Safety Committee - Marie Callerame: We should be getting new signs tomorrow. Complaint about speeders at the Michaelbrook entrance. A new sign? Marie will talk with the city about putting our signs on the city light poles. To and fro on various interpretations on legal issues.

Old Business: (7:44PM)

- Proposed Tree Policy - Mark Guthrie: Comments to the board have come in. A discussion on how to interpret the results follows. To and fro on the history of the tree policy. To and fro on various motions. To and fro on various legal exposures to having an “official” policy. Everything is repeated three to five times. Finally, the board votes to rescind any policy that might have previously existed. More discussion on the policy/non-policy they have just voted on. Tom Kelley: Tom says the the CC&Rs and the “Deeds of Dedication” circa 1989 contain sufficient authority to guide neighbors and the board without an official policy. *(Tom is wrong about this. A law suit of October 29, 1993 titled “Appeal from the decision of shoreline management review committee granting permit revision of permit #c-2-87” asked that the view easements in the deeds be voided as they violated the Shoreline Management Act. This appeal became the basis for the out of court settlement with the developer, Vanport, re-instating his conditional use permit to develop Lacamas Shores.)* Tom proposes a “white paper” to the association membership to explain the resolution. There is a discussion on what a “white paper” is. It is finally resolved NOT TO HAVE A TREE POLICY. (Based mostly on lack of CC&R definitions and the legal exposure of taking sides in neighborhood disputes where the HOA has no clear right or obligation to act. *(This is exactly the decision of 2006/07/18 HOA board meeting... 11 years ago. Exactly the same issues, the same discussion, the same decision... that we may not have a policy where we have no authority or guidance from the CC&Rs.)*) Mark Guthrie finishes up by saying how pleased he is with the way the board acted on this issue.

New Business: (8:07PM)

- Planning and Land Use - Ron Boyce: “I feel It would be helpful to get a Land Use attorney in helping us deal with the city. There is a certain amount of burden of proof on us, they don’t have to prove anything. And I think we need a land use attorney, review some of these documents and to get a legal opinion on that. The city will listen to something like that.” Some discussion on fees. Ron has some friends that will give him some free time. Marie Callerame: A motion? Tom Kelly: No... just an agreement from the board to look for opinions without incurring any costs. Without tying up any funds... “We need something in our hip pocket, I think... The city seems to be throwing some things at us that are not appropriate for our application.” Ron Boyce: I’m not asking for any funding right now. Motion: Authorizing Ron to consult with a land use attorney. A budget of \$500 is proposed... later removed. Passed: Ron is authorized look for a land use attorney with no authority to spend any money.

- Meadowlands Park - Ron Boyce: "I would like, at least, to go on record a motion for of 30,000 to 35,000 dollars for the maintenance and restoration of the bio-filter storm system." Some discussion. Pat Lambert: "Are you making a motion?" Yes... Marie Callerame seconds. Janine Smith: "In my recent review of Washington Law in regards to the reserve fund/study, we can't spend money from the reserve fund on components that are not in the reserve study." Marie: "Wait, wait... that is not the law." Some discussion. Perhaps making the Meadowlands Park, etc. part of the reserve fund solves this problem? Janine will research. Mark Gillespie: ...is mowing the grass routine maintenance, or is it part of the reserve fund? More discussion. A review on past board actions. Removing the trees killed by the new development on Michaelbrook is mentioned... The money... some 10,000 dollars came from the reserve fund?... No, it came from normal maintenance funds. Marie Callerame has done some research that seems to allow the use of reserve fund money to pay for unforeseen expenses. Ron Boyce: "We've got quotes on much, effective, we feel it would cost to restore that back to the original. That's between 30,000 to 35,000 dollars. (Someone: what do you mean by original?) Back to grasslands where it filters properly, rather than the water running on top, which is what it is doing now. Which means you have to get rid of the trees there, they are destroying that grassland, the grasses won't grow in the shade. So that's what has happened over time. Originally it was a grassland. Originally they came in, logged it off, they scraped it down, graded it down, gave it a 5% slope. Grasses, there were a few trees... a few saplings, pretty much it was grassland. Cindi Marrinan: Asks for clarification... what area are you talking about? Ron says: ...behind my house. Cindi Marrinan says: "If you look at the pictures, there were trees behind your house. The only area that was grassland was like right behind the soccer field. I have the pictures." Ron says that is besides the point. *(Cindi made a timeline of the trees behind Ron's house. The timeline shows trees have been there from 1991 to the present. You can see her movie on the Lacamas Shores Community Facebook page.)* The motion: Set aside \$35,000 for restoration of the wetlands from the reserve fund. **PASSED** with one nay vote (Mark Guthrie). Mark Guthrie: ... before we had \$175,000 in the reserve fund... now we have obligations for \$200,000... how can we do this without a special assessment? Marie Callerame talks for a very long time and I can not understand what she is saying. I do not think she is answering the question just asked. Some question about what was just voted... Was it a commitment to spend the \$35,000 on Meadowlands Park, or was it a vote to add Meadowlands Park to the reserve fund components and figure out how to pay for it later? *(What was just passed? I've listened to this 3 times now and here is my guess: My guess is that the \$35,000 for restoration of Meadowlands Park was approved by the HOA board and will be added to the Reserve Fund as a new component. They may or may not actually spend anything on it till they get clarification from the city on what they are allowed to do, and what they believe they may be required by the city to do.)*

- Fines & Fees - Marie Callerame hands out a new schedule. No vote tonight. Request for memorial bench in the soccer field. A letter is read. Motion to approve the bench at the member's expense. **PASSED**, with the proviso that the ALCC must also approve.

Adjourn - (9:04PM)

The Executive session:

- CC&R Non-Compliance/Property Maintenance

Executive Session Summary

The board is obliged to put in their "official minutes" a summary of any decisions made in the executive session. This board has never done this.

Further, this board continues to overuse executive sessions. if you look at the Washington State RCWs on open meetings and the reasons listed for having executive sessions, you will see what topics need to be in closed sessions and which topics are discussed in open sessions. Generally valid topics for closed sessions are litigation, neighbor vs neighbor disputes, and allegations of crime. The topics and questions to be decided in a closed session need to be stated before the meeting begins and the decisions made are given after the closed meeting is over. The decisions are public and need to be in the minutes. Topics that are merely contentious are not valid for closed meetings.

Cindi Marrinan's questions to the board at the 2018/03/19 HOA Board Meeting

Issue with HOA Expenses

At the Feb Board meeting, it was discussed that the Board had received letters from the City of Camas and the Department of Ecology and both government agencies had told the Board that the trees cannot be cut in the wetlands and that the area is being maintained properly. It was also discussed that over \$11,000 of HOA funds have been spent so far on this topic.

About 2 years ago (it was part of the 2016 annual meeting responses) the neighborhood was polled to see if they wanted the Board to spend HOA money on the study of the wetlands. The majority vote was that the members did not want their money spent on that issue.

The Board took the stance that they needed to properly maintain the wetlands and that is why they needed to do the research. The Board now has two government agencies that have told them to leave the Wetlands alone.

Many neighbors have seen the reports from the City and the Department of Ecology, since they are public records, and would like the Board to once again poll the neighborhood to see how the majority feels about spending any more funds on this issue.

Are you willing to generate a poll that can be done via email, mail, or both? You have the Annual Meeting mailing coming up and this would be a perfect time to get feedback from the neighborhood. Will you do this?

Issue with Agenda

I noticed that in the Executive Session of the Agenda you have a line

item for Discussion - Common Area Potential Liability. Do you have any pending litigation in the common area? If not, then I am asking the Board to conduct that business in the regular session of the meeting since it doesn't qualify for a topic that should be held in secrecy from the membership.

Are you willing to put that topic in the regular session?

When an HOA board meets in an Executive Session

The reasons an HOA board can call for an Executive Session are very specific. They are listed below:

- **Legal issues** -- Matters such as attorney-client privilege, pending litigation and settlement strategies may be discussed in this session. The Board's attorney does not have to be present in any way during the meeting.
- **Contracts** -- An HOA board may go into Executive Session to discuss contracts with third-parties.
- **Disciplinary actions** -- Any actions taken against employees and Association members for disciplinary reasons should be done in Executive Session. The employee or member has a right to be present at that part of the meeting only.
- **Personnel matters** -- Issues regarding employees are taken up in these sessions also. These matters might include, but are not limited to: hiring, firing, raises, discipline and performance reviews.
- **Assessments** -- If an Association member is delinquent in paying their assessments the Board should take up the matter of a repayment plan in an Executive Session.
- **Foreclosure** -- This extreme step may be one of the most critical an HOA board has to make. This decision can only be made by the Board and not an agent working with and for the Board. Any decision has to be approved by a majority of the group.

Expenses paid in determining changes to wetlands

From 2018 January Board Meeting:

Ron Boyce: "I need more money for the land use attorney...\$1,500. 2 nay votes. The allocation is raised from \$3,500 to \$5,000.

From 2018 February Board Meeting:

A discussion on John McConnaughey letter to the board (and maybe to the city?) that he has reason to believe that the HOA had financial liability with respect to past maintenance of Meadowland Park. This can apparently be remedied with a letter to the core of engineers. Passed: John is hired to write such a letter and will be paid an additional \$1,000.

Expenses paid as of 2017 on determining if changes can be made to the way we maintain the Wetland area:

Environmental Technology	\$3,405.60	2016
Environmental Technology	\$2,270.40	February 2017
Environmental Technology	\$1,530.00	June 2017
Environmental Technology	\$1,061.99	July 2017
Environmental Technology	\$340.00	December 2017
City of Camas - Permit Fee	\$315.00	June 2017
Land Use Attorney - J Bricker	\$2,125.00	December 2017
Land Use Attorney - J Bricker	\$4,312.50	February 2018

Total Wetland Special Project Expenses: \$15,360.49

After spending \$15,360.49, the city of Camas and Dept of Ecology have stated that the trees in our Wetlands cannot be cut.

During the Feb 2018 Board Meeting, the Board voted to spend another \$1,000 to reach out to the Washington State Corps of Engineers about our Wetlands.